

Washington, DC 20036

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 04/30/2001 L741.01103 1050 09/844,272 Yves, Louis Gabriel Audebert EXAMINER 04/12/2006 Stevens, Davis Miller & Mosher, LLP SON, LINH L D Suite 850 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615 L Street, NW

2135
DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CN	>
- <i>y j</i>	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,272	AUDEBERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
-	Linh LD Son	2135	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	anuary 2006	
		action is non-final	
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
· /—	closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
· · · ·	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·	
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	on Papers		* *
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/06/05	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/844,272 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responding to the Amendment received on 01/18/06.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 01/18/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-22 under USC 35 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,272

Art Unit: 2135

USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163

Page 3

- 6. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.
- 7. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,272

Art Unit: 2135

of '439.

8. Claims 1-23 in this application No. 09844272, hereinafter '272 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 09844439, hereinafter '439. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because as exemplary Claim 1 in '272 has similar limitations as the exemplary claim 1 in '439, except one. The limitation "said at least one first remote computer system further comprising means for transferring said proprietary information from a storage location through said established communications pipe, wherein said first remote computer system is functionally connected to said network and is functionally communication with said client and said personal security device through said established communications pipe" is not claimed in claim 1 in the '439. Nevertheless, Claim 1 in '439 recites "processing and routing means for transferring authentication challenges received over said network from said subsequent remote computer system to said PSD for authentication through said established communications pipe". The authentication challenges can be interpreted as the said proprietary information. Therefore, Claim 1-23 is obviously anticipated over claims 1-17

Page 4

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2135

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son Examiner Art Unit 2135

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100